Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 336

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

A & R Enterprises, LLC v. Sentinel Ins. Co., Ltd. (Order)	921
Allan v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	939
BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP v. Lee (Order)	952
Bank of America, National Assn. v. Sorrentino (Order)	922
Bank of New York Mellon v. Mercier (Order)	913
Bank of New York Mellon v. Ruttkamp (Order)	902
Bank of New York Mellon v. Tope (Order)	950
Barker v. All Roofs by Dominic	592
Workers' compensation benefits; determination by Workers' Compensation Commis-	
sioner that defendant city was plaintiff's principal employer pursuant to statute	
(§ 31-291); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly	
$upheld\ decision\ of\ Compensation\ Review\ Board,\ which\ had\ affirmed\ commission$	
er's decision; whether city was principal employer of plaintiff, who was employed	
by city's uninsured subcontractor and who suffered compensable injury while	
performing repairs to roof of city's transfer facility; whether Massolini v. Driscoll	
(114 Conn. 546), should be overruled insofar as it applies principal employer	
liability, for purposes of workers' compensation law, to municipalities.	
Borelli v. Renaldi	3
Negligence; high speed police pursuit; summary judgment; governmental immunity;	
whether trial court correctly concluded that statute (§ 14-283 (d)) governing	
operation of emergency vehicles, as well as defendant town's police pursuit policy,	
imposes discretionary, rather than ministerial, duty on police officers to drive	
with due regard for safety of all persons and property; whether defendants were	
immune from liability in connection with pursuit of fleeing motorist; whether	
plaintiff failed to demonstrate that identifiable person-imminent harm exception	
to discretionary act immunity applied in present case.	
Boutilier v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	935
Bridges v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	949
Brown v. State (Order)	904
Budrawich v . Budrawich (Order)	909
Buie v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	940
CT Freedom Alliance, LLC v . Dept. of Education (Order)	914
Coccomo v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	943
Cohen v. King (Order)	925
Cole v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	908
Coleman v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	922
Collins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	931
Commissioner of Public Health v . Colandrea (Order)	930
Cordero v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	926
Corley v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	913
Couloute v. Board of Education (Order)	946
Davis v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	916
DeLeo v. Equale & Cirone, LLP (Order)	927
Derblom v. Archdiocese of Hartford (Order)	938
Disciplinary Counsel v. Cannatelli (Order)	950
Ooe v. Flanigan (Order)	901
Oovenmuehle Mortgage, Inc. v. Janniello (Order)	922
Owyer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	931
E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. Chemtura Corp.	194
Breach of contract; whether trial court properly rendered judgment for defendant	
on claim alleging breach of commercial contract governed by New York law when	
plaintiff failed to strictly comply with notice provision; whether New York law	
requires strict compliance with notice provision of commercial contract when	
other party to contract receives actual notice and is not prejudiced by lack of	
strict compliance.	

	432
Congressional elections; action brought pursuant to statute (§ 9-323) allowing any	
elector or candidate who claims that he is aggrieved by any ruling of any election official in connection with election for, among other public offices, representative	
in Congress, to file complaint with justice of Supreme Court; motion to dismiss;	
claim that application for absentee ballot adding COVID-19 as reason for absentee	
voting was unconstitutional and based on erroneous interpretation of governor's	
executive order; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over plain-	
tiff's action under § 9-323.	
	923
	924
	669
Negligence; action to recover damages from state for personal injuries plaintiff sustained when uninsured motor vehicle struck vehicle in which she was passen-	
ger; motion to dismiss; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over	
plaintiff's action; whether state's waiver of sovereign immunity in statute (§ 52-	
556) for claims arising from state employee's negligent operation of state owned	
and insured motor vehicle extends to litigant, such as plaintiff, who is state	
$employee; whether {\it plaintiff's action against state was barred by workers' compensation}$	
sation exclusivity provision (§ 31-284 (a)); whether state's waiver of sovereign	
immunity pursuant to § 52-556 precluded it from raising defense to liability	
under § 31-284 (a); improper form of judgment.	926
8	944
	943
	947
	936
	931
	170
Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; claim that habeas counsel ren-	
dered ineffective assistance by failing to raise claim of due process violation in	
petitioner's earlier habeas case; whether petitioner's due process rights were violated under Napue v. Illinois (360 U.S. 264) and Giglio v. United States (405	
U.S.150) when prosecutor knowingly failed to correct false testimony of state's	
key witnesses at petitioner's criminal trial regarding their cooperation agree-	
ments with state, even though defense counsel had actual or constructive knowl-	
edge of those agreements; whether disclosure to defense counsel that witness	
has given false testimony, by itself, necessarily cures any violation of criminal	
defendant's due process rights under Napue and Giglio.	001
	921 913
	915
	916
Heyward v. Leftridge (Orders)	
	949
In re Ava W	545
Termination of parental rights; request for posttermination visitation; whether	
respondent mother was aggrieved by trial court's order declining to order postter-	
mination visitation with her child; claim that issue of posttermination visitation was rendered moot by virtue of trial court's termination of respondent's parental	
rights; claim that respondent lacked standing to appeal from trial court's order	
because she did not appeal from or seek or obtain stay of termination judgment;	
whether trial court correctly concluded that it lacked authority to order posttermi-	
nation visitation; whether trial court correctly relied on applicable statute (§ 17-	
112a (b) through (h)) to deny request for posttermination visitation; claim that	
trial court's denial of posttermination visitation should be upheld on alternative	
ground that court correctly determined that such visitation would not be in child's best interest; remand for dispositional hearing at which trial court is to consider	
merits of ordering visitation.	
	902
	909
	911
	915
In re Kameron N. (Orders)	
In re Marcouan C. (Order)	924

n re Phoenix A. (Order)	932
n re Probate Appeal of Concannon (Order)	937
'n re Riley B. (Order)	943
n re Zakai F	272
Petition for reinstatement of guardianship rights pursuant to statute (§ 45a-611);	
certification from Appellate Court; whether parent seeking reinstatement of	
guardianship rights is entitled to rebuttable, constitutional presumption that	
reinstatement is in best interests of child once parent has established that cause	
for removal no longer exists; whether third party seeking to rebut presumption	
that reinstatement of guardianship is in child's best interests must do so by clear	
and convincing evidence; weighing of factors set forth in Mathews v. Eldridge (424	
U.S. 319) for purpose of determining proper standard of proof in reinstatement	
of guardianship proceedings.	010
Ingram v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	916
International Investors v. Town Plan & Zoning Commission (Order)	928
Jacques v. Commissioner of Energy & Environmental Protection (Order)	938
Jan G. v. Semple (Order)	937
Simenez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	948
IPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Essaghof	633
Strict foreclosure; trial court order requiring defendant mortgagors to reimburse	
plaintiff mortgagee for certain taxes and homeowners insurance premiums that	
were to be paid by plaintiff while defendants' appeal from foreclosure judgment	
was pending and while defendants were occupying property subject to foreclosure;	
certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court improperly upheld	
trial court's order; whether trial court's order was proper; reviewability of claim that trial court should have been disqualified on ground of certain statements	
calling into question court's impartiality.	
Kaminski v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	915
Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	912
Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	941
Kondjoua v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Lafferty v. Jones	332
Invasion of privacy; special motions to dismiss under anti-SLAPP statute (§ 52-	332
5196a); interlocutory appeal pursuant to statute (§ 52-265a) involving matter	
of substantial public interest; first amendment; sanctions; whether trial court	
violated defendants' first amendment rights by imposing sanctions for named	
defendant's extrajudicial speech harassing and threatening plaintiffs' counsel;	
whether trial court abused its discretion in imposing sanctions for discovery	
order violations and named defendant's extrajudicial speech; whether trial court	
violated defendants' due process rights by failing to afford them sufficient notice	
and meaningful opportunity to be heard before imposing sanctions.	
Lebron v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	948
Leonova v. Leonov (Order)	906
Mecca v. Mecca (Order)	940
Morales v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	930
MSW Associates, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Dept. (Order)	946
Nandabalan v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order)	951
Nash v. Roland Dumont Agency, Inc. (Order)	917
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Zanett (Order)	919
Northeast Builders Supply & Home Centers, LLC v. RMM Consulting, LLC (Order)	933
OneWest Bank, N.A. v. Ceslik (Order)	936
Osborn v. Waterbury (Order)	903
Osbourne v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	937
Palmer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	924
tariner or commissioner or correction (crace)	
Pascola-Milton v. Millard (Order)	934
Pascola-Milton v. Millard (Order)	934 914
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914 914
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914 914
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914 914
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Peierce v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Praisner v. State Indemnification pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53-39a); whether Appellate Court correctly determined that state university's special police force was not local police department for purposes of § 53-39a; whether 2017 amendment to	914 914
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Peierce v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Praisner v. State Indemnification pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53-39a); whether Appellate Court correctly determined that state university's special police force was not local police department for purposes of § 53-39a; whether 2017 amendment to § 53-39a was clarifying legislation applicable to plaintiff.	914 914
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Peierce v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Praisner v. State Indemnification pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53-39a); whether Appellate Court correctly determined that state university's special police force was not local police department for purposes of § 53-39a; whether 2017 amendment to	914 914 420

	932 927
	920
	920
	919
	940
	946
	905
	942
,	923
Shoreline Shellfish, LLC v. Branford	403
town; whether trial court improperly granted town's motion for summary judg- ment; whether genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether shellfishing	
ground plaintiffs sought to lease was owned by town within meaning of applicable provision (§ 88-8) of town code; whether town's Shellfish Commission had authority to lease shellfishing ground to plaintiffs under § 88-8 of town code.	
Solek v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	935
	945
· · ·	910
	901
	912
	452
Capital felony; murder; felony murder; sexual assault first degree; kidnapping first	402
degree; burglary first degree; sixth amendment right to counsel; claim that state violated defendant's sixth amendment right to counsel by engaging jailhouse informant to deliberately elicit incriminating statements from defendant;	
whether informant acted as agent of state in eliciting incriminating statements	
from defendant; claim that there was insufficient evidence to establish that	
defendant remained unlawfully in victim's apartment for purpose of his convic-	
tion of first degree burglary; defendant's invitation to overrule State v. Allen (216	
Conn. 367); stare decisis; whether trial court abused its discretion in declining to	
give third-party culpability instruction to jury in light of existence of unidentified	
person's DNA in and on victim's body and on doorframe of victim's bedroom.	~
	951
	939
	920
	928
	907
State v . Hall-George (Order)	934
State v . Hazard (Order)	901
State v. Joseph A	247
abused its discretion in determining that defendant's waiver of right to counsel	
during pretrial stage of proceedings was knowing, intelligent and voluntary; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that defendant understood nature of charges against him; claim that defendant's waiver of right to	
counsel was constitutionally inadequate because trial court did not make him	
aware of dangers and disadvantages of self-representation during canvass; claim	
that trial court's failure to canvass defendant regarding right to counsel during	
arraignment and plea negotiations was structural error; whether alleged error	
concerning failure to canvass defendant regarding right to counsel during arraignment and plea negotiations was harmless.	
8 (947
State v. Knox (Orders)	906
	747
Tampering with witness; sufficiency of evidence; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that jury reasonably could have found that defendant tampered with witness; claim that there was insufficient evidence from which jury could reason-	
ably find that defendant had specifically intended to interfere with witness' testimony at official proceeding.	

State v. Lemanski (Order)	
State v. Lemanski (Order)	907
State v. Liebenguth Breach of peace second degree; defendant's use of racial slurs in confronting African- American parking enforcement officer after officer issued defendant parking ticket; first amendment; fighting words; certification from Appellate Court; whether language defendant used to demean, intimidate and anger parking enforcement officer, when considered in conjunction with circumstances in which that language was used, constituted fighting words likely to provoke violent response from reasonable person in officer's position; whether first amendment prohibited state's use of defendant's words to obtain defendant's breach of peace conviction; history of use of word "nigger," discussed.	685
State v. Mansfield (Order)	910
	951
State v. Marsala (Order)	911
State v. Ramon A. G	386
Assault third degree; claim that trial court improperly declined to instruct jury on	300
defense of personal property with respect to assault charge; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant failed to preserve his claim of instruc- tional error; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant waived	
his unpreserved claim of instructional error.	
State v. Ruiz-Pacheco	219
Assault first degree as principal; assault first degree as accessory; double jeopardy;	
certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded	
that defendant's convictions of assault in first degree as principal and assault	
in first degree as accessory as to each victim did not violate double jeopardy	
$clause\ of\ United\ States\ constitution;\ proper\ inquiry, for\ double\ jeopardy\ purposes,$	
when defendant is convicted of multiple violations of same substantive criminal	
statute, discussed; whether legislature intended to punish individual acts sepa-	
rately or to punish course of action that they constitute under first degree assault	
statute (§ 53a-59 (a) (1)) under which defendant was convicted; whether defend-	
ant's assaultive acts against victims were part of same continuing course of conduct.	
*********	033
State v. Russaw (Order)	933 929
State v. Russaw (Order)	929
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	929 903
State v. Russaw (Order)	929
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order)	929 903 919 917
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	929 903 919
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order). State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order).	929 903 919 917 947
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order). State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order).	929 903 919 917 947 944
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	929 903 919 917 947 944 938
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order). State v. Williams (Order). Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order). Tunick v. Tunick (Order). Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order). Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order)	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunick v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vecaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908
$ \begin{array}{l} \text{State } v. \; \text{Russaw (Order)} \\ \text{State } v. \; \text{Sayles (Order)} \\ \text{State } v. \; \text{Schimanski (Order)} \\ \text{State } v. \; \text{Schimanski (Order)} \\ \text{State } v. \; \text{Sebben (Order)} \\ \text{State } v. \; \text{Williams (Order)} \\ \text{Steele } v. \; \text{Commissioner of Correction (Order)} \\ \text{Stephenson } v. \; \text{Commissioner of Correction (Order)} \\ \text{Trust } v. \; \text{Bliss (Order)} \\ \text{Tunick } v. \; \text{Tunick (Order)} \\ \text{Turner } v. \; \text{Commissioner of Correction (Order)} \\ \text{U.S. Bank, National Assn. } v. \; \text{Moncho (Order)} \\ \text{Vaccaro } v. \; \text{Loscalzo (Order)} \\ \text{Velez } v. \; \text{Commissioner of Correction (Order)} \\ \text{Vitti } v. \; \text{Milford} \\ \text{Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board} \\ \end{array} $	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Williams (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunck v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunick v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Stele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunick v. Tunick (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Stele v. Williams (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on functional capacity of transplanted organ; claim that transplanted heart was	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunick v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on functional capacity of transplanted organ; claim that transplanted heart was comparable to prosthetic device, thereby entitling plaintiff to disability award	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order). State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunick v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on functional capacity of transplanted organ; claim that transplanted heart was comparable to prosthetic device, thereby entitling plaintiff to disability award for 100 percent loss of function of organ.	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942 654
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunick v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on functional capacity of transplanted organ; claim that transplanted heart was comparable to prosthetic device, thereby entitling plaintiff to disability award for 100 percent loss of function of organ. Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order)	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 934 934 955 654
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunick v. Tunick (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on functional capacity of transplanted organ; claim that transplanted heart was comparable to prosthetic device, thereby entitting plaintiff to disability award for 100 percent loss of function of organ. Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order) Wahba v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Order)	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 908 942 654
State v. Russaw (Order) State v. Sayles (Order) State v. Schimanski (Order) State v. Sebben (Order) State v. Williams (Order) Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Trunick v. Tunick (Order) Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vitti v. Milford Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on functional capacity of transplanted organ; claim that transplanted heart was comparable to prosthetic device, thereby entitling plaintiff to disability award for 100 percent loss of function of organ. Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order)	929 903 919 917 947 944 938 910 945 934 9654